

*This email has been sent originally in Dutch to Mr. Frans Timmermans, and via the Cc in that email also been sent to the EU Commissioners related with the subject of the email, and mentioned in this email. The subject of the email in Dutch is:
1/2. De EU-stralingsguidelines zijn frauduleus.*

Dear Mr. Timmermans,

The intention of this message is to draw your attention to shocking facts that I have collected about 5G, EU, SCHEER, ICNIRP and WHO. The safety guidelines of EU, ICNIRP, WHO, but also those of Canada safety code 6, US FCC, and Australia's ARPANSA are what Professor Emeritus Martin L. Pall calls: "fraudulent". I will elaborate on this in the following text. My message is well documented and provided with source references.

Preface

The international discussions around 5G rise to much debate.

The reporting on this on the EU website, and also on the WHO website, is totally absent.

This is extremely remarkable, and alarming.

Reason why I asked EU questions that were answered by "Europe Direct".

Those questions have been answered with incorrect information. A little further more on that.

First of all: why do I write to you?

In the first place because you have the Dutch nationality just like me, so I can write in my native language.

The second reason is that in the list of Europe Commissioners I cannot find a Commissioner specializing in subjects concerning telecommunications, and the electromagnetic radiation created by this industry, at least: this is not clear. There are some commissioners who are specialized in subjects that are more or less related to the telecommunications industry, and the impact of the developments of this modern industry on human health, all life on earth and the earth itself, the magnetosphere, and the climate. These commissioners have received an English version of this email.

These commissioners are:

1. Miguel Arias Cañete (Climate Action & Energy -> 5G satellites contribute to the increasing of the climate change)

https://ec.europa.eu/commission/commissioners/2014-2019/arias-canete_en

2. Julian King (Security Union):

https://ec.europa.eu/commission/commissioners/2014-2019/king_en

3. Carlos Moedas (Research, Science and Innovation):

https://ec.europa.eu/commission/commissioners/2014-2019/moedas_en

4. Vytenis Andriukaitis (Health):

https://ec.europa.eu/commission/commissioners/2014-2019/andriukaitis_en

5. Andrus Ansip (Vice President): Digital Single Market

https://ec.europa.eu/commission/commissioners/2014-2019/ansip_en

6. Věra Jourová (Justice and Consumers)

https://ec.europa.eu/commission/commissioners/2014-2019/jourova_en

The third reason is that the subject fits in perfectly with your own disciplines: using 5G is essentially an *experiment* on people and the environment. This is a crime under international law.

Your EU profile states: Better Regulation, Interinstitutional Relations, the **Rule of Law** and the Charter of **Fundamental Rights** and therefore it is a perfect choice to write you.

Questions and Answers

In the first attached document you can read exactly what I asked EU, via the contact form, which additional scientific sources related to this subject I provided there, and how my questions have been answered by Europe Direct.

To be able to judge the "Europe Direct" answer on its scientific content (meaning: truthfully), in particular one sentence from this answer:

"As 5G is expected to use narrower cells with lower power levels, the overall EMF exposure would be distributed more evenly, and therefore, is likely to even decrease in areas where 5G is deployed.»,

I submitted the "Europe Direct" answer to the American scientist and radiation expert Arthur Firstenberg (Cornell University), also head of the International Appeal to Stop 5G on Earth and in Space [12] [13], and to Professor Emeritus Dr. Martin L. Pall (Washington State University).

Arthur Firstenberg's answer can be found in attachment 2.

Dr. Answer Martin L. Pall can be found in attachments 3, 4, 5 and 6.

These PDFs are part of a not yet completed study on EU, its guidelines, on SCHEER, WHO, ICNIRP, and the ICNIRP-cartel [5] [6] [7] [8], what exactly the relationship between these aforementioned institutions or organizations is, with possibly also their relationship with the Canada safety code 6, Australia's ARPANSA, and US FCC, and therefore with the telecommunications industry, worldwide.

Japan, China, Russia etc. are missing. The publications on internet about these countries show that they are not following a different course than the EU, etc..

Dr. Martin Pall briefly summarizes the findings of his research (attachment 3, 4, 5, 6) of EU guidelines and knowledge on radiation, as well as those of the ICNIRP, Canada safety code 6, the US FCC, and Australia's ARPANSA as follows:

«While this document is aimed at the EU safety guidelines, the similar safety guidelines produced by ICNIRP, Canada safety code 6, the US FCC, and Australia's ARPANSA are similarly fraudulent for each of those same seven reasons. All guarantees of safety given by these organizations or by industry organizations, which are based on these or similar safety guidelines, are similarly fraudulent. The fraudulence is caused, in part, by the series of false

assumption underlying these safety guidelines:

- 1. Assumptions that average intensities or average SARs can be used to assess safety are false.*
- 2. Assumptions that one can ignore pulsations including very short spikes are false.*
- 3. Assumptions that you one ignore biological heterogeneity and assess effects simply based on physics are false.*
- 4. Assumptions that dose-response curves are linear or at least monotone are false.*
- 5. Assumptions that there are no mechanisms that can explain the existence of non-thermal effects are false.*
- 6. Assumptions that electrical forces produced by low intensity EMFs are too weak to do anything are false.*

The consequences of all this, is that we have a multi-trillion dollar (or multi-trillion euro) set of industries, the telecommunication industries that are based entirely on massive fraud.»

SCHEER

Europe Direct's reply also referred to SCHEER's page on the EU website via a link[3].

Because of a worldwide growing need for clarity and facts, transparency, I have started to investigate SCHEER: who are creating the guidelines for EU, what are their names, studies, etc.

The result is attached as the seventh attachment in a PDF.

The result is shocking: SCHEER has 17 members, 16 of whom have not published any research on the PubMed website, on electromagnetic fields, electromagnetic radiation, WiFi, cell towers.

One member, the Greek Samaras, has conducted four studies on radiation, but they are not related to where SCHEER is creating guidelines for.

My question is therefore: from what or who exactly SCHEER derives its knowledge, because they do not have any knowledge in their own team while their guidelines have determined the EU-guidelines where WHO, the European member-states and treaty-countries, including their governments, ministers, political parties, national health care, their radiation departments, their telecommunications industry, refer to in their statements on their websites?

Isn't it striking that the SCHEER-guidelines are in a total harmony with the industrial plans and developments, while there is a growing group of scientists who have a totally different scientific research subject, and conclusions, than the studies where the SCHEER EU-guidelines derived from?

Dr. Martin Pall rejects the EU-guidelines even as fraudulent.

Then I "found" the ICNIRP group. ICNIRP appears to be the world's largest influencer concerning electromagnetic radiation guidelines. This group claims to carry out independent research and to have no links with the industry.

In a BBC documentary of 2011[22] former WHO scientist, and founder of ICNIRP Dr. Michael Repacholi denies that during his ICNIRP membership no conflict of interest took place, while he had ties with the telecommunications industry, the mobile phone industry, before. He worked there.

I also made an investigation on ICNIRP, and collected the results on a PDF: see attachment 8. Several independent (non - government or industry-related) EMF organisations are currently conducting a thorough investigation into the truth in the «bunker» in which ICNIRP is hiding. This «bunker» is located in Munich, Germany. I use this metaphor because it seems to be hardly possible to enter the building.

ICNIRP

The result of a very simple investigation (attachment 8) shows that this group has also hardly done any research into the effects of electromagnetic radiation.

What dr. Michael Repacholi also claims in the BBC documentary, namely that one also mixes studies of the controversial [so the researches based on the biological effects of radiation, while he - and therefore also WHO, EU, SCHEER, FCC, etc. - only researched the thermal effects of radiation] and are therefore included in their research: however, research that confirms this claim is nowhere to be found. If these researches exist, then the data about it should be published on the ICNIRP website, especially now there are so many discussions about who is right or wrong about especially 5G, and why.

The ICNIRP committee has a total of 13 members, and 5 of them have not conducted any research into radiation from electromagnetic fields, WiFi, radio masts, etc. Total number of investigations by the other members, so eight, that are somewhat related to radiation: 95 (PubMed) out of a total of 12,615 investigations by the entire ICNIRP committee, published on PubMed. That is 0.8%.

Not found: 4G, 5G, bee collapse, bird collapse, insects, plants, trees, forests / forests, amphibians, water.

Yet ICNIRP is still seen worldwide as a respectable, credible, independent institute that seeks the well-being of people, of all life on earth. The reason for this credibility must be the trust that people worldwide have in organizations such as WHO, which has close ties with ICNIRP.

WHO can be found on continents where people suffer, die and undergo major epidemics, massively. This is psychologically influencing people's feelings: it shows that "it", the WHO, is a reliable, ethical organization.

Why doubting?

However, this *can* be also a tactical move: because of the serious, contradicting facts regarding electromagnetic radiation, it's health effects and victims, these WHO stories from everywhere in the world, published on their website with striking big size photos of people who are suffering, can also been used as

propaganda of a system that follows totally different ethics when it comes to the horrible health effects concerning the exposure of humans and animals to electromagnetic radiation.

Words like "lower frequency" sound safe, while even not high-educated citizens know in the meantime that this is even *more* dangerous.

However, if everything is really honest, fair and true, concerning the research and ethics of ICNIRP and WHO, then this can have just one reason: they do research in the wrong field. To make it more clear: they search for water in the desert. This is also Dr. Martin Pall's conclusion (attachment 3 and 4).

All who are part of SCHEER, WHO, ICNIRP, FCC, telecommunications industries, and thereby the influencers of political decision-making, focus on *heat* generation by radiation, not on the *biological* aspects. It cannot be repeated often enough because EU, WHO, ICNIRP scientists are in a constant denial.

Those biological aspects are part of all life on earth, because we, humans, *are* biological beings, the earth is a biological entity, and it is logical, a matter of common sense, that man-made unnatural electromagnetic radiation (alternating current) influences the functioning of the natural electromagnetic radiation (direct current), of all life: we *are* electricity, although with a lower voltage than the man-made electricity; everything in our body is related to magnetic fields, to electrons, to metabolism, in which these electrons play a crucial role with regard to energy supply in cells of healthy tissues and organs.

Regardless of how the numbers of ICNIRP scientists (and all I mentioned) may have been composed, and how well they may be correct, regarding *their own focus*, an important absenteeism, in addition to the highly relevant but absent biological aspect, is the relevant but absent *time* factor.

In other words: due to the absence of both factors in studies by SCHEER, ICNIRP, FCC, Canada safety code 6, and ARPANSA, we are all part of a live mass experiment, because the aforementioned organizations do not listen to the findings of those who, in this case, studied the right factors.

Arthur Firstenberg: "The deployment of 5G includes an experiment on humanity and the environment that is defined as a crime under international law."

4G has hardly been investigated, in particular with regard to its effects in the longer term, which is not even possible yet: 4G is not active long enough to make the right *conclusions*. The 5G project has been developed anyway, and got even the permission to be activated, though it has not been investigated at all, and while 6G has already had its first summit: from 24 March to 26 March, 2019 in Finland. By 2030 the scientists want 6G to be rolled out.

That is why it is crucial that 5G is stopped now, per direct, until it has been demonstrated unanimously, worldwide, by all scientists, not the half of the

total of scientists, but all, that 4G is really *safe, and why*, and if not, to start to search for possibilities to make 4G safe in the first place.

The scientific controversy about electromagnetic radiation has to be investigated in the first place in every detail.

That takes time, but the telecommunications industry has become a runaway herd of horses that crushes everything that comes its way. The telecom industry is a destructive group of kamikaze pilots, a suicide command: all is allowed to achieve the goal: IoT.

That madness must be stopped, simply by unveiling the facts, revealing secrets, errors and scandals. Dr. Martin Pall is very clear about this: the facts of the EU, ICNIRP, etc., of the telecommunications industry are fraudulent.

That is why I am writing to you. To shed light on this, to request further investigations and to be the supervisor and enforcer of this investigation group. The future of our earth and our existence as humans is in great danger. The threat of total *extinction* is real.

ICNIRP has announced in The Telegraph[4] that the guidelines regarding 5G must be relaxed.

It is therefore time "for sounding the alarm".

WHO

The WHO website does not provide any information about its members, its contributors, its scientists. Only one name is mentioned: the general president. At "About" all names should be announced, including the field of study, where they studied, which year, where they worked, where their studies were published, etc.

There is nothing, while all the countries of the world have adopted the WHO's position on radiation, referring to it, and this, while the WHO is totally *ignorant*.

This is a life-threatening situation.

Referring to WHO, which happens on the EU website, is therefore essentially referring to a dubious-ethical organization, and therefore unsuitable for taking and bearing responsibility for the well-being of human beings, in this case the Europeans, by the EU.

Referring to the individual responsibility of each EU member state or EU treaty country, with regard to radiation, is unknown in the member states / treaty countries. This became clear in an answer I received from the Norwegian "Statens Strålevern": this is a Norwegian umbrella organization on radiation and they base their guidelines on the WHO and EU guidelines. Telenor (sole ruler in the Norwegian telecom industry) also constantly refers to the EU and WHO standards, and the Norwegian Ministry of Health as well. It seems that countries trust EU and WHO blindly. Unless something else is going on: the power of the telecom industry. Telenor is the sole ruler in Norway. The guidelines of EU and WHO fit very well in the business plans of Telenor.

FCC

The FCC has been given absolute power over everything related to telecommunications, since Bill Clinton signed the USA Communications Act in 1996. Protesting against their decisions has been made impossible by that Act. It is even punished. Everyone, including scientists, doctors, nurses, has been silenced. FCC also has its own scientists. In a so-called hearing (February 2019), Senator Blumenthal asked officials from the telecommunications industry about independent investigations. There are no independent researches, they said, they trust their own scientists. The FCC has completely ignored control and enforcement to date [3]. More about FCC: [9] [10] [11].

Dr. Barrie Trower

Also the statements of the Cold War veteran Dr. Barrie Trower are clear about this: in the Cold War he served the British secret service and the Royal Navy, and was specialized in "microwave weapons". The frequency of those weapons is similar with 4G radiation. Barrie Trower talks about this in an interview [14]. A documentary about 5G shows film footage in which microwave weapons are used even *after* the cold war [21].

The fact that microwave weapons exist shows that electromagnetic radiation does indeed influence human health, that EHS is based on truth and nothing but the truth, and must be respected with a deep seriousness. With the frequencies of 5G we can speak of a system that has never been used before in the telecommunications industry, and which is at the same time a microwave weapon whose catastrophic consequences cannot be foreseen when activated or *misused*. Star wars is very near when also China and Russia are filling the magnetosphere with their satellites. There is nothing known in the history of humanity that goes beyond this absolute 5G madness.

A few things about

*** once again the dubious role of WHO** - It is clear that the renowned WHO neglected its responsibilities in a clearly irresponsible way. Regarding radiation, on its website reference is made to an almost four-year-old document (date control 3 March 2019), and the general information only mentions the year 1999. We live in 2019, 20 years later. Science has made new researches and made new conclusions.

The Lancet indicated at the end of 2018 that it is time to adjust the standards [17]. This is not happening. It is very quiet around the WHO. The last publication regarding "possible" cancer risk is from 2011. Eight years ago. The WHO is represented in the ICNIRP cartel. Their role there is "observer" (see attachment 9).

It is time that it becomes clear why EU and WHO standards fit in perfectly with the interests of the telecommunications industry, the industry-minded policy. Usually the industry does not agree with health guidelines at all. Now they do, and eagerly take over the guidelines of EU and WHO.

Also time to investigate, whether this is possibly related to economic fascism. Economic fascism is not outdated, economic fascism did not stop ending WWII

[15].

* **Helsedirektoratet, Norway** - In Norway, where I live, Helsedirektoratet has forbidden all doctors to listen to the stories and questions of patients with radiation-related health problems or helping them with, for example, filling in papers for deactivating the smart meter: the stories must be neglected, denied, because it is imagination. Information about this can be obtained from the Norwegian scientist Einar Flydal [20]. I don't know how this is arranged in the Netherlands, or in other EU countries.

* **EHS** - Of course, there will be people who become anxious, or get complaints that are comparable with EHS symptoms, by delving into the matter. This is a well-known phenomenon among medicine students. However, there are millions of people worldwide who really suffer from EHS. The phenomenon of WiFi refugees is real. For more details you can contact Arthur Firstenberg: contact [18].

* **Hippocratic Oath** - Since when has an umbrella organization been allowed to oblige doctors, medical practitioners, all those working in health care, to ignore their vow on the Hippocratic Oath [16]? Through this oath they commit themselves to help everyone, not to discriminate anyone. What Helsedirektoratet does in Norway is actually obliging doctors to commit perjury. This is normal in dictatorial countries, but Norway says it is a democracy.

* **More focused scientific research** - If EU and WHO really wanted to do scientific research, as they pretend to wish, then this explosively growing group of EMF-radiation-sick people should not be rejected, sent away, but welcomed, invited to participate in scientific research, which can be realized very easily via the general practitioner, who can collect information that can be examined by third parties, possibly students, who want to graduate, or obtain a PhD, or further. But this is not happening.

It is not a secret that universities are no longer independent and that students are already conducting research for the industry associated with it. The pharmaceutical industry (linked to the medical sciences) is also industry, a multinational.

My own experiences and knowledge - Electromagnetic sensitivity is not an imagination, as I demonstrated in the section on Dr. Barrie Trower, but a very healthy, natural response: I speak from experience. My heart-healthy heart (and I have to work very hard to keep this healthy in also radiation-rich Norway) can detect wireless devices, my heart knows exactly when a Wi-Fi is active, *without being notified of this as being present and / or active*. It starts pounding unnatural strong, gets arrhythmias, the tension in my head feels as explosively high due to a strong rising blood pressure; the tinnitus has become chronic.

When I am in low-radiation area (radiation-free hardly exists in this world), my normal health returns: all symptoms disappear, very gradually, like a balloon

deflates. However, it takes me a full week to fully recover from the effect of a smart meter.

It is not an imagination. We are talking about the influence of 4G.

In addition: bees, birds, amphibians etc. disappear from areas with 4G masts. Is this because they imagine something? They can't.

I can no longer travel everywhere in Norway, I can no longer travel to the Netherlands, I can no longer visit my children and grandchildren in the Netherlands.

Can you *imagine* that I would love it to be imaginary?

Then a psychologist or psychiatrist could liberate me from my imaginations, with the wonderful result that I also get rid of the many humiliations and discriminations, from family, friends, governments, doctors, health institutions, also my isolation, my status of radiation asylum seeker. But unfortunately it is not imaginary, the dangers are real, and therefore I ask you for help. 5G will be my death sentence. I am utterly serious, and for hundred percent aware of this 5G threat: I know the effects of 4G.

Finally

Due to the seriousness of the current situation (the first 4425 with 5G will be launched in June 2019), I kindly ask you to consider my email as an emergency call.

Sincerely,

Antoinette Janssen,
Norway

References:

[1] CV Arthur Firstenberg:

<https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5b8dbc1b7c9327d89d9428a4/t/5bfc2bb12b6a28e7ef502623/1543252917462/CURRICULUM+VITAE+of+Arthur+Firstenberg.pdf>

[2] SCHEER information:

http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/opinions_layman/electromagnetic-fields2015/en/index.htm

[3] Website Senator Blumenthal:

<https://www.blumenthal.senate.gov/newsroom/press/release/at-senate-commerce-hearing-blumenthal-raises-concerns-on-5g-wireless-technologys-potential-health-risks>

[4] The Telegraph: <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/technology/2019/03/09/mobile-safety-standards-relaxed-ahead-5g-networks/>

[5] ICNIRP cartel: <https://www.investigate-europe.eu/publications/how-much-is-safe/>

[6] ICNIRP cartel: https://www.stopumts.nl/doc.php/Berichten%20Internationaal/11876/the_icnirp_cartel_and_the_5g_mass_experiment

[7] ICNIRP cartel:

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1qNcaWa85khAk9YO9Z2J3nAFmVw9eMTHw/view>

[8] ICNIRP cartel: <https://www.kumu.io/Investigate-Europe/whos-who>

- [9] FCC: https://thetruthaboutcancer.com/fcc-5g/?utm_campaign=daily-content
- [10] FCC: <https://www.nrdc.org/experts/sharon-buccino/5g-and-fcc-10-reasons-why-you-should-care>
- [11] FCC: <https://truth11.com/2019/03/11/npr-report-on-5g-mentioned-nothing-about-widespread-opposition-or-that-telecom-cant-prove-5g-is-safe/>
- [12] International Appeal, Stop 5G on Earth and in Space: <https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/the-appeal/>
- [13] Newsletter International Appeal: <https://multerland.files.wordpress.com/2019/03/stop-5g-space-appeal-newsletter-18-march-2019.pdf> and is attached as PDF.
- [14] Video Dr. Barrie Trower: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z99_SzoXZdY
- [15] Economic fascism: <https://fee.org/articles/economic-fascism/>
- [16] Hippocratic Oath: https://www.wikiwand.com/en/Hippocratic_Oath
- [17] The Lancet: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2542519618302213>
- [18] Contact Arthur Firstenberg: <https://www.cellphonetaskforce.org/contact-us/>
- [19] Contact Dr. Martin Pall: 638 NE 41stAve., Portland OR 97232 USA / martin_pall@wsu.edu
- [20] Contact Einar Flydal: <https://einarflydal.com/hjelp/> or by phone: <https://www.gulesider.no/einar+flydal+oslo/72491103/person>
- [21] Documentairy about 5G: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ol3tAxnNccY>
- [22] Documentary, part 2, BBC, 2011: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ewdikNQhjUo>
Start: 8:33 in the video. Interview with Dr. Michael Repacholi, a scientist who is responsible for the WHO position, and who founded the standard setting-body ICNIRP. He no longer works for WHO but he made decisions which affect all our lives. Before he was involved with the WHO he was working in the telecommunications industry, the mobile market.

Attached documents:

1. My questions to the EU and the answer from Europe Direct
2. Arthur Firstenberg's response to Europe Direct
3. Martin L. Pall Response: Martin_Pall-5G-EUflawsMarch2019-2-original
4. Response from Martin L. Pall: Martin_Pall-5G-EUflawsMarch2019-2-version2
5. Document belonging to Martin_Pall-5G-EUflawsMarch2019-2: EMF appealList.pdf
6. Document belonging to Martin_Pall-5G-EUflawsMarch2019-2: EU-EMF2018-6-11US3.pdf
7. SCHEER
8. ICNIRP
9. ICNIRP cartel
10. Newsletter March 2019, International Appeal, Stop 5G on Earth and in Space
11. English version of this email.
12. Dutch version of this email