

41 expressions of high level concern drafted by scientists and/or medical doctors regarding health impacts of low level EMF exposures, presented over the 17 year period from 2002 through 2018. 31 of these are discussed in substantially more detail, with linkage to specific internet sites on Dr. Magda Havas' web site.

<http://magdahavas.com/international-experts-perspective-on-the-health-effects-of-electromagnetic-fields-emf-and-electromagnetic-radiation-emr/>

Others are derived from a list published on the internet by the Environmental Health Trust and a document drafted by Captain J. G. Flynn, retired Canadian military intelligence expert of effects of EMFs and a list from the Manhattan Neighbors Association. The citations for #s 5 and 26 comes from none of those sources.

It can be seen from this list that there has been an average of over 2.4 expressions of high level concern per year over a 17 year period, about our safety guidelines from independent scientists and/or physicians from much of the world. But those concerns have been universally ignored by those who should be protecting us from EMF effects and have also been almost universally ignored by the media.

1. **2018: International Appeal , Stop 5G on Earth and Space. Signed by over 22,570 people as of Nov. 23, 2018.**
<https://www.5gspaceappeal.org/the-appeal>
2. **2018: Response to 2018 ICNIRP Draft Guidelines and Appendixes on Limiting Exposure to Time-Varying Electric, Magnetic and Electromagnetic Fields (100 kHz to 300 GHz). Signed by 119 scientists, physicians and others from around the world.**
3. **2018: EU 5G Appeal – Scientists warn of potential serious health effects of 5G. Signed by over 180 scientists and medical doctors in 37 countries.** https://www.google.com/?client=safari&channel=mac_bm
4. **Released 2017: How to Reduce Exposure to Radiofrequency Energy from Cell Phones. Division of Environmental and Occupational Disease Control • California Department of Public Health.**
https://www.google.com/?client=safari&channel=mac_bm
5. **2018: Reykjavik Appeal on wireless technology in schools February 24, 2017** <http://www.stralskyddsstiftelsen.se/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/Reykjavik-Appeal-170224-2.pdf>

6. **2016: EUROPAEM EMF Guideline 2016 for the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of EMF-related health problems and illnesses. Authored by 15 scientists from 7 countries.**
<https://www.degruyter.com/view/j/reveh.ahead-of-print/reveh-2016-0011/reveh-2016-0011.xml>
7. **2015: Brussels International Scientific Declaration on Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity and Multiple Chemical Sensitivity**
8. **2015: International EMF Scientist Appeal, Sent to the United Nations and all member states and WHO. Signed by 242 scientists who published peer-reviewed papers on EMF effects from 41 countries. Also signed by 10 scientists working in related areas. May 11, 2015, with some signatures being added subsequently.**
9. **2014: Canadian Physician's Declaration to Health Canada, July 9, 2014. Signed by 55 Canadian physicians.**
10. **2014: 53 scientists from 18 countries stated that "Canada's Safety Code 6 is fundamentally flawed" and called on Health Canada to protect Canadians from radiofrequency exposures.**
https://www.albany.edu/ihe/assets/Scientist_Declaration.pdf
11. **2014: Declaration: Scientists call for Protection from Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure**
12. **2014: The Baby Safe Project. The Joint Statement on Pregnancy and Wireless Radiation.**
13. **2014: International Scientists Declaration July 9, 2014. Scientists call for Protection from Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure.**
14. **2014: Open letter by British medical doctors: Health and safety of Wi-Fi and mobile phones, 24 March 2014**
15. **2013: European Manifesto in support of a European Citizens' Initiative (ECI)**
16. **2013: American Academy of Environmental Medicine, Open letter to Superintendents of School Districts of the United States, dated May 13, 2013. The American Academy of Environmental Medicine strongly supports use of wired internet connections in schools. There is consistent emerging science that people, especially children with thinner skulls and developing nervous systems, are especially sensitive to wireless radiation. Also pointed out that 4 hours exposure to a laptop connected to Wi-Fi damaged the DNA of human sperm.**

- <http://manhattanneighbors.org/wp-content/uploads/The-American-Academy-of-Environmental-Medicines-Open-Letter-to-the-Superintendents-of-the-School-Districts-of-the-United-States-.pdf>
17. **2013:** Potenza Picena Resolution on “Radar, radiofrequency and health risk”, April 20, 2013
 18. **2012:** Vienna College of Physicians statement on safer cell phone use
 19. **2012:** American Academy of Pediatrics Website: Healthy Children.org Cell Phone Radiation & Children’s Health: What Parents Need to Know. https://www.google.com/?client=safari&channel=mac_bm
 20. **2012:** International Doctors’ Appeal 2012 (10-year follow-up to the Freiburg Appeal of 2002)
 21. **2012:** American Academy of Environmental Medicine statement on need for a moratorium on “smart meter” installation, Jan 19, 2012
 22. **2011:** International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) and World Health Organization (WHO) reclassification of EMFs as class 2B carcinogens
 23. **2011:** The Parliamentary Assembly Council of Europe (PACE) released Resolution 1815 on the *Potential Dangers of Electromagnetic Fields and their effect on the Environment*.
 24. **2011:** Multiple Chemical Sensitivity (MCS) and Electrohypersensitivity (EHS), Summary of meeting at the WHO headquarters Geneva, May 13, 2011.
 25. **2011:** The Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, April 2011 “*Electromagnetic fields from Mobile Phones: Health Effect on Children and Teenagers*”.
 26. **2010:** Scientific panel on electromagnetic field health risks: consensus points, recommendations, and rationales. Rev Environ Health 2010;25:307–17.
 27. **2010:** Seletun Statement, Norway: The International Electromagnetic Field Alliance (IEMFA) released their report entitled *Scientific Panel on Electromagnetic Field Health Risks*

28. **2009: EU Parliament Electromagnetic Report and Resolution** entitled: *European Parliament Resolution on health concerns associated with electromagnetic fields*, adopted February 17, 2009
29. **2009: Porto Alegre Resolution, Brazil.** Scientists and doctors recognize electrohypersensitivity and are concerned that exposure to electromagnetic fields may increase the risk of cancer and chronic diseases; that exposure levels established by international agencies (IEEE, ICNIRP, ICES) are obsolete; and that wireless technology places at risk the health of children, teens, pregnant women and others who are vulnerable.
30. **2008 University of Pittsburg Cancer Institute**, The Case for Precaution in the Use of Cell Phones
31. **2008: Venice Resolution, Italy.** International Commission for Electromagnetic Safety (ICEMS) Scientists recognize biological effects at non-thermal levels
32. **2008: Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, Moscow, Russia, April 14, 2008**, statement on "*Children and Mobile Phones: The Health of the Following Generation is in Danger.*"
33. **2007: BioInitiative Report, USA** showing adverse biological effects of low level electromagnetic fields and radio frequency radiation.
34. **2007: Brussels Appeal.** 29 January 2007 Stated that Electromagnetic pollution was an infringement of article 23 of the Belgian constitution. Called for the intervention of the Federal Health Minister, Mr. Rudy Demotte. Denounced the absence of responsibility of the Federal Health Minister as regards protection of the people with respect to electromagnetic pollution under rapid development. Signed by over 500 citizens including University professors, scientists, physicians, nurses, and others. <http://www.next-up.org/pdf/PressReleaseAppeldeBruxellesAppelVanBrusselCallOfBrussels012007.pdf>
35. **2006: Benevento Resolution, Italy.** *The Precautionary EMF Approach: Rationale, Legislation and Implementation.*
36. **2005: Helsinki Appeal, Finland.** Physicians and researchers presented the Helsinki Appeal to the European Parliament.
37. **2005: Irish Doctors' Environmental Association (IDEA), Ireland. Recognized electromagnetic hypersensitivity (EHS) and the need for treatments.**
http://www.emrpolicy.org/regulation/international/docs/idea_emr.pdf

38. **2004. International Association of Fire Fighters** (U.S., Canada, Mexico), **Resolution 15. Recognized need to prevent cell phone tower and other irradiation exposures in fire stations. Special concern regarding neurological effects and cancer.**
39. **2002. Catania Resolution, Italy.** Expressed concern regarding adverse health effects. http://www.icems.eu/docs/resolutions/Catania_res.pdf
40. **2002 : Freiburg Appeal, Germany, Oct. 2002.** Physicians request tougher guidelines for radio frequency exposure. This document was endorsed by over 3000 healthcare practitioners.
41. **2002: Salzburg Resolution, Austria.** Recommended preliminary guidelines of 0.1 microW/cm² for sum of all emissions from mobile phone stations. This was 1/10,000th of the current ICNIRP guidelines and those in Canada and the US (1000 microW/cm²) and was 1/100th the guidelines in Switzerland, Italy, Russia, China (10 microW/cm²).

Assembled by Martin L. Pall, PhD, Professor Emeritus